UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/563,842	01/05/2006	Bernardus Hendrikus Hendriks	NL 030872	5645	
	7590 12/23/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			SUGARMAN, SCOTT J		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/563,	842	HENDRIKS ET AL					
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit					
		Scott J.	Sugarman	2873					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 23 June 2008							
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is							
3)		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, <b>,</b>	,					
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the	application							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		consideration						
		are withdrawn nom t	orisideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement						
			roquiroment.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January :</u>	<u>2006</u> is/are:  a)⊠ ac	cepted or b) 🗌 o	bjected to by the Examine	er.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s)	) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requ	ired if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
· .	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		received in this National S	Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen			_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Taper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:									

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onuki et al (Japan 2002-162507A) in view of Blum et al (US 2002/0140899 A1). Onuki et al teaches a lens having at least one electro-wetting lens incorporating first and second immiscible fluids wherein variation in the potential difference between first and second electrodes of each electro-wetting lens causes the shape of a boundary between the first and second fluids to alter from a first state in which the second fluid forms a layer across substantially an entire light transmitting area of an internal surface of the lens. Onuki et al does not specifically teach that the fluids can have dissimilar light transmitting properties although does suggest absorptivity of the liquids. Blum et al teaches an electo-wetting lens used with the eyes can have a liquid crystal layer that is utilized to create an electronic tint or sunglass effect (see paragraphs [0167] and [0208]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a first fluid layer that is a better light transmitter than the second fluid in the lens Onuki et al, since as shown by the Blum et al, a fluid layer can be provided that has a different light transmission property to create a

sunglass effect in electro-wetting lenses. The location of either of the fluids in different states (as determined by a discrete or progressive potential difference) is considered to be a matter of design preference that would have provided a predictable result. Since the absorptivity of the lenses of both Onuki et al or Blum are considered, correcting for photophobia would inherently satisfy the limitation of correcting for a visual defect. Although, providing any sunglass lens with correcting of other visual defects (power, prism, astigmatism, etc) is very well known in the art. Refractive index and specific gravity are a function of the fluid utilized and would provide predictable results.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (571)272-2340.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on (571)272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,842 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott J. Sugarman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

sjs

December 18, 2008